

ANALYTICITY AND DECAY ESTIMATES OF THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN CRITICAL BESOV SPACES

HANTAEEK BAE, ANIMIKH BISWAS, AND EITAN TADMOR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish analyticity of the Navier-Stokes equations with small data in critical Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$. The main method is so-called Gevrey estimates, which is motivated by the work of Foias and Temam [19]. We show that mild solutions are Gevrey regular, i.e. the energy bound $\|e^{\sqrt{\tau}\Lambda}v(t)\|_{E_p} < \infty$ holds in $E_p := \tilde{L}^\infty(0, T; \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}) \cap \tilde{L}^1(0, T; \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}+1})$, globally in time for $p < \infty$. We extend these results for the intricate limiting case $p = \infty$ in a suitably designed E_∞ space. As a consequence of analyticity, we obtain decay estimates of weak solutions in Besov spaces. Finally, we provide a regularity criterion in Besov spaces.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction and statement of main results	1
2.	Notations: the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and paraproducts	6
3.	The case $p < \infty$: proof of Theorem 1.1 (existence) and Theorem 1.2 (analyticity)	7
4.	The case $p = \infty$: proof of theorem 1.3 (existence) and Theorem 1.4 (analyticity)	12
5.	Proof of theorem 1.5: decay of Besov norms	17
6.	Proof of theorem 1.6: regularity condition in Besov spaces	19
	Acknowledgments	21
	References	21

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

It is well-known that regular solutions of many dissipative equations, such as the Navier-Stokes equations, the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, the surface quasi-geostrophic equation and the Smoluchowski equation are in fact analytic, in both space and time variables [4, 14, 18, 36, 49]. In fluid-dynamics, the space analyticity radius has an important physical interpretation: at this length scale the viscous effects and the (nonlinear) inertial effects are roughly comparable. Below this length scale the Fourier spectrum decays exponentially [13, 17, 27, 28]. In other words, the space analyticity radius yields a Kolmogorov type length scale encountered in turbulence theory. At a more practical level, this fact can be used to show that the finite dimensional Galerkin approximations converge exponentially fast in these cases [12]. Other applications of analyticity radius occur in establishing sharp temporal decay

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations, scaling invariant Besov spaces, Gevrey regularity, decay of Besov norms, regularity condition.

Research was supported in part by NSF grants, DMS10-08397 and FRG07-57227.

rates of solutions in higher Sobolev norms [39], establishing geometric regularity criteria for the Navier-Stokes equations, and in measuring the spatial complexity of fluid flow [24, 31, 32].

In this paper, we study analyticity properties of the incompressible Navier-Stokes (NS) equations in \mathbb{R}^3 . The system of equations is given by

$$(1.1a) \quad v_t + v \cdot \nabla v - \mu \Delta v + \nabla p = 0,$$

$$(1.1b) \quad \nabla \cdot v = 0,$$

where v is the velocity field, p is the pressure, and $\mu > 0$ is the viscosity coefficient which for simplicity we set $\mu = 1$.

Since $\nabla \cdot v = 0$, we can rewrite the momentum equation (1.1a) by projecting it onto the divergence-free space. Let $\mathbb{P} = Id - \nabla(-\Delta)^{-1}div$ be the orthogonal projection of L^2 over divergence-free vector fields. By applying \mathbb{P} to (1.1a), we obtain

$$(1.2) \quad v_t + \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (v \otimes v) - \Delta v = 0.$$

Formally, we can express a solution v of (1.2) in the integral form:

$$(1.3) \quad v(t) = e^{t\Delta}v_0 - \int_0^t \left[e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (v \otimes v)(s) \right] ds.$$

Any solution satisfying this integral equation is called a *mild solution*. We can find it by using a fixed point argument for the function $v \mapsto F(v)$,

$$F(v)(t) = e^{t\Delta}v_0 - \int_0^t \left[e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (v \otimes v)(s) \right] ds.$$

The invariant space for solving this integral equation corresponds to a scaling invariance property of the equation. Assume that (v, p) solves (1.1). Then, the same is true for rescaled functions:

$$(1.4) \quad v_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda v(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad p_\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^2 p(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Under these scalings, L^3 , $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\dot{W}^{\frac{3}{p}-1, p}$ and $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$ are *critical spaces* for initial data ($t = 0$), i.e., the corresponding norms are invariants under these scaling. One can find various well-posedness results for small data in these critical spaces in [6, 7, 9, 21, 29, 30, 40].

The goal of this paper is threefold:

- (i) analyticity of mild solutions in critical Besov spaces,
- (ii) decay of Besov norms of weak solutions, and
- (iii) a new regularity condition in Besov spaces.

More details of these three topics will be presented in section 1.1, section 1.2, and section 1.3 respectively.

1.1. Analyticity of mild solution. Let us begin with analyticity results of this paper. Compared to previous works by [15, 23, 37] (derivative estimations), [4] (l_p space on \mathbb{T}^3), and [25, 26] (complexified equations), we are able to establish analyticity of the Navier-Stokes equations by obtaining *Gevrey estimates* in Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$. Namely, we will show that a solution $v(t) \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$ satisfies $\sup_{t>0} \|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} < \infty$, where Λ is the Fourier multiplier whose

symbol is given by $|\xi|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 |\xi_i|$. We emphasize that here $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda_1$ is quantified by the l^1 norm

rather than the usual l^2 norm associated with $\Lambda_2 := (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This approach enables one to avoid cumbersome recursive estimation of higher order derivatives.

In order to explain the main idea, we define $V(t) = e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda}v(t)$. Then, $V(t)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda+t\Delta}v_0 - \int_0^t \left[e^{[\sqrt{t}\Lambda+(t-s)\Delta]} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda}V(s) \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda}V(s)) \right] ds \\ &= e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda+t\Delta}v_0 - \int_0^t \left[e^{[(\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{s})\Lambda+(t-s)\Delta]} \mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda}(e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda}V(s) \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda}V(s)) \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{\sqrt{t}|\xi|_1}$ is dominated by $e^{-t|\xi|^2}$ for $|\xi| \gg 1$, the behavior of the linear term, $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda+t\Delta}v_0$, closely resembles that of $v(t)$. The estimates of the nonlinear term are similar to those of $v(t)$ due to the nice boundedness property of the bilinear operator B_s :

$$B_s(f, g) = e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda}(e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda}f(s)e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda}g(s)).$$

As noticed from the above argument, the existence result of $v(t)$ is crucial in establishing Gevrey regularity. Thus, in section 3 (for $p < \infty$) and section 4 (for $p = \infty$), we will first show the existence of a mild solution and then proceed to explain how to modify the existence proof to obtain Gevrey regularity.

Compared to previous works in [19, 39], where they defined Gevrey norms of the form $\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda_2}v(t)\|_X$ with a L^2 based space Sobolev space X , the use of Λ instead of Λ_2 is fundamental for the estimates of B_s in L^p based function spaces. A similar approach using Λ in L^p -spaces was taken by [34]. However, our results cover a larger Besov class of initial data in the full range of $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, and the same method can be applied to other dissipative equations; see for example [1, 2].

We now present our existence/analyticity results for $p < \infty$ and $p = \infty$ separately. For notational simplicity, we will suppress the dependence of norms (defined below) on q .

1.1.1. *The case $p < \infty$.* The existence of global-in-time solutions for small data in $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$ for $p < \infty$ was proved by Chemin [9]. The result indicates a gain of two derivatives from the maximal regularity of the heat kernel, which is realized in terms of the function space E_p ,

$$E_p := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}' : \|u\|_{E_p} = \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} + \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1 \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(\frac{3}{p}-1)q} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L_t^\infty L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1 \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} = \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{j(\frac{3}{p}+1)q} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L_t^1 L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

with the usual change for $q = \infty$.

Theorem 1.1 (Existence [9]). *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $v_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$. There exists a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $v_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$ with $\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq \epsilon_0$, the NS equations (1.2) admit a global-in-time solution $v \in E_p$. Moreover, if $q < \infty$, $v \in C_t \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$.*

The first result of this paper is showing that solutions of Theorem 1.1 are, in fact, analytic in the following sense.

Theorem 1.2 (Analyticity). *There exists a positive constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $v_0 \in \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$ with $\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq \epsilon_0$, the NS equations (1.2) admit a solution $v \in E_p$ such that $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda}v \in E_p$.*

1.1.2. *The case $p = \infty$.* The case of $\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}$ data, corresponding to $p = \infty$, is much harder because the Navier-Stokes equations are ill-posed in $\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}$ for $q > 2$ ([5, 22, 51]). To circumvent the difficulty in this case, we prove the existence of solutions subject to a restricted class of initial data in $\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1} \cap \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0$, $1 \leq q < \infty$. The corresponding function space is defined as follows.

$$E_\infty := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}' : \|u\|_{E_\infty} = \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0} + \sup_{t>0} \left[\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \right\}.$$

Compared to the time-integrated gain of two derivatives we had in the case $p < \infty$, here we have pointwise-in-time gain of regularity of order $\frac{3}{2}$, which is realized in E_∞ .

Theorem 1.3 (Existence). *Let $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $v_0 \in \dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1} \cap \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0$. There exists a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $v_0 \in \dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1} \cap \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0$ with $\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} + \|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0} \leq \epsilon_0$, the NS equations (1.2) admit a global-in-time solution $v \in E_\infty$.*

Remark 1.1. *We note that one can replace $\dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0$ with other auxiliary spaces, $\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$, $3 \leq p < \infty$ in Theorem 1.1. We choose the former because it is closely related to spaces appearing in the regularity criterion [16] with initial data in L^3 . Also, we choose $q < \infty$ to avoid the embedding $\dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0 \subset \dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$.*

Once we show the existence of a solution of (1.3) in E_∞ , we can show the following analyticity result along the lines of the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4 (Analyticity). *There exists a positive constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $v_0 \in \dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1} \cap \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0$ with $\|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} + \|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0} \leq \epsilon_0$, the NS equations (1.2) admit a solution $v \in E_\infty$ such that $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda}v \in E_\infty$.*

1.2. Decay of weak solution. As an application of analyticity of solutions addressed above, we will estimate decay rates of weak solutions. Most of decay results have been based on L^2 estimations as one can see in [38, 39, 42, 41, 43, 44, 45, 50]. Here, we obtain the decay of weak solutions in Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$ for all p . Before presenting our result, we recall the usual notion of a weak solution, $v(t) \in L_t^\infty L^2 \cap L_t^2 \dot{H}^1$, satisfying (1.2) in the sense of distributions and the additional energy inequality,

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The fundamental theorem of Leray [35] states the existence of such weak solutions for initial data $v_0 \in L^2$ with $\nabla \cdot v_0 = 0$.

We now briefly explain the main idea of the decay estimates: (i) The energy inequality implies that $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} = 0$. If we show that Besov norms $\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$ can be controlled by \dot{H}^1 norm, then $\|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$ becomes sufficiently small after a certain transient time t_0 . (ii) Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 tell us that if initial data are sufficiently small in critical spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$, then the solution satisfies the estimate $\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda}v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$ globally in time. Combining these two observation, we will show the following decay estimates of weak solutions in section 5.

Theorem 1.5 (Decay). *Let v be a weak solution of the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, subject to initial data $v_0 \in L^2$ and, in addition $\omega_0 = \nabla \times v_0 \in L^1$ in case $1 < p < 2$. Then, there exists a time $t_0 > 0$ such that $\|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$ becomes sufficiently small so that Theorem 1.2 or Theorem 1.4 hold for $p < \infty$ and, respectively, $p = \infty$. Moreover, the following decay estimate holds for $\zeta > 0$,*

$$\|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq C_\zeta \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} (t - t_0)^{-\frac{\zeta}{2}}, \quad C_\zeta = \|\Lambda_2^\zeta e^{-\Lambda}\|_{L^1}, \quad \begin{cases} q \geq 2 \text{ for } p \geq 2, \\ q \geq \frac{p}{p-1} \text{ for } 1 < p < 2. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.2. *By the Sobolev embedding, one can easily extend previous L^2 decay results to obtain decay rates in L^p spaces, $p > 2$. However, the decay rates for $p < 2$ are new.*

Remark 1.3. *This decay rate satisfies the Petrowsky parabolicity condition [48].*

Remark 1.4. *The case $p = q = 2$ corresponds to the upper bound of decay rate in [39]. In that paper, however they assumed the following two conditions to the solution itself: (a) there exist positive real numbers M_1 and γ which may depend on v_0 such that $\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{M_1}{(1+t)^\gamma}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and (b) for $r \geq \frac{3}{2}$, $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(t)\|_{H^r} < \infty$. By contrast, we do not need these two conditions to obtain the decay estimate asserted in theorem 1.5.*

1.3. Regularity condition. As a related subject, we will provide a Serrin-type regularity criterion in Besov spaces. The Serrin criterion [46] says that the Leray weak solution v is smooth for $t \in (0, T]$ if $v \in L^r(0, T; L^s)$, with $\frac{2}{r} + \frac{3}{s} = 1$. We would like to show a new regularity criterion in Besov spaces with less regularity.

Theorem 1.6. *There exists a smooth solution of the Navier-Stokes equation on the time interval $[0, T]$ for smooth initial data v_0 if on any time interval $[T - t, T]$,*

$$(1.5) \quad (T - t)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \begin{cases} \leq C, & t < T, \\ \rightarrow 0, & t \mapsto T, \end{cases} \quad \frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} - \sigma = 1, \quad 3 \leq p \leq \infty, \quad 2 < q < \infty.$$

Remark 1.5. *Theorem 1.6 generalizes the Serrin's regularity condition and other related results in two aspects: (i) σ can be negative and (ii) for $\sigma = 0$, $\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^0} \leq \|v(t)\|_{L^p}$.*

Remark 1.6. Compared to a new regularity criterion obtained by [10] in $L^q(0, T; B_{\infty, \infty}^{\frac{2}{q}-1})$ for $2 < q < \infty$, where their result is better than our result in the spatial variables by $\dot{B}_{\infty, \infty}^{\frac{2}{q}-1} \subset B_{\infty, \infty}^{\frac{2}{q}-1}$ for a negative regularity index $\frac{2}{q}-1$, our result improves the criterion in the time variable because the time singularity $(T-t)^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ is in the weak L^q space, which contains the L^q space. Moreover, our proof is much simpler. However, our method cannot cover several known results due to the missing end point $q = \infty$: for example, $L^\infty(0, T; L^3)$ in [16] and $C((0, T]; B_{\infty, \infty}^{-1})$ in [10].

2. NOTATIONS: THE LITTLEWOOD-PALEY DECOMPOSITION AND PARAPRODUCTS

We begin with some notations. $L^p(0, T; X)$ denotes the Banach set of Bochner measurable functions f from $(0, T)$ to X endowed with either the norm $\left(\int_0^T \|f(\cdot, t)\|_X^p dt\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ or $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|f(\cdot, t)\|_X$ for $p = \infty$. For $T = \infty$, we use $L_t^p X$ instead of $L^p(0, \infty; X)$. For a sequence $\{a_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{a_j\}_{l^q} := \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_j|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$, with the usual change for $q = \infty$. Finally, $A \lesssim B$ means there is a constant C such that $A \leq CB$.

We next provide notation and definitions in the Littlewood-Paley theory. We take a couple of smooth functions (χ, φ) supported on $\{\xi; |\xi| \leq 1\}$ with values in $[0, 1]$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1, \quad \psi(\xi) = \varphi\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right) - \varphi(\xi)$$

and we denote $\psi(2^{-j}\xi)$ by $\psi_j(\xi)$. The homogeneous dyadic blocks and lower frequency cut-off functions are defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_j u = 2^{jd} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(2^j y) u(x-y) dy, \quad S_j u = 2^{jd} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{h}(2^j y) u(x-y) dy,$$

with $h = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\psi$ and $\tilde{h} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi$. Then, we can define the *homogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition* by

$$(2.2) \quad u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j u \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'_h,$$

where \mathcal{S}'_h is the space of tempered distributions u such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} S_j u = 0$ in \mathcal{S}' . Using this decomposition, we define stationary/ time dependent *homogeneous Besov spaces* as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{B}_{p,q}^s &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'_h ; \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} := \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\}, \\ L^r(0, T; \dot{B}_{p,q}^s) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'_h ; \|f\|_{L^r(0, T; \dot{B}_{p,q}^s)} := \left\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\|_{L^r(0, T)} < \infty \right\}, \\ \tilde{L}^r(0, T; \dot{B}_{p,q}^s) &= \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'_h ; \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^r(0, T; \dot{B}_{p,q}^s)} := \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^r(0, T; L^p)}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with the usual change if $q = \infty$. According to the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^r(0,T;\dot{B}_{p,q}^s)} &\leq \|f\|_{L^r(0,T;\dot{B}_{p,q}^s)} & \text{if } r \leq q, \\ \|f\|_{\tilde{L}^r(0,T;\dot{B}_{p,q}^s)} &\geq \|f\|_{L^r(0,T;\dot{B}_{p,q}^s)} & \text{if } r \geq q. \end{aligned}$$

The concept of *paraproduct* enables to deal with the interaction of two functions in terms of low or high frequency parts, [8]. For two tempered distributions f and g ,

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} fg &= T_f g + T_g f + R(f, g), \\ T_f g &= \sum_{i \leq j-2} \Delta_i f \Delta_j g = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g, \quad R(f, g) = \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 1} \Delta_j f \Delta_{j'} g. \end{aligned}$$

Then, up to finitely many terms,

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta_j(T_f g) = S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g, \quad \Delta_j R(f, g) = \sum_{k \geq j-2} \Delta_k f \Delta_k g.$$

In section 3, we will use the following decomposition:

$$(2.7) \quad fg = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_j f \Delta_j g + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_j f \Delta_j g.$$

Again, up to finitely many terms, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_j(fg) = \sum_{k \geq j-2} S_k f \Delta_k g + \sum_{k \geq j-2} \Delta_k f S_k g.$$

We finally recall a few inequalities which will be used in the sequel.

Bernstein's inequality [8]. For $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha \Delta_j f\|_{L^p} \simeq 2^{jk} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}, \quad \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^q} \lesssim 2^{jd(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}.$$

Localization of the heat kernel [9].

$$(2.10) \quad \|e^{t\Delta} \Delta_j f\|_{L^p} \lesssim e^{-t2^{2j}} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}.$$

3. THE CASE $p < \infty$: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 (EXISTENCE) AND THEOREM 1.2 (ANALYTICITY)

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2, analyticity of the Navier-Stokes equations with small initial data in $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$, with $p < \infty$. The proof is based on an adequate modification of the proof Theorem 1.1. Therefore, we begin with the detailed existence proof of [9].

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We recall the definition of the function space E_p ,

$$(3.1) \quad E_p = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}' : \|u\|_{E_p} = \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} + \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1 \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} < \infty \right\}.$$

We construct a solution in the integral form: $v(t) = e^{t\Delta}v_0 - \mathcal{B}(v, v)$, where the bilinear form \mathcal{B} is

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{B}(u, v) = \int_0^t \left[e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)(s) \right] ds.$$

We only need to show that \mathcal{B} maps $E_p \times E_p$ to E_p . We first decompose the product $u \otimes v$ as paraproduct (2.7). Then, \mathcal{B} can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{B}(u, v) = \mathcal{B}_1(u, v) + \mathcal{B}_2(u, v), \quad \mathcal{B}_1(u, v) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}(S_j u \otimes \Delta_j v), \quad \mathcal{B}_2(u, v) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}(S_j v \otimes \Delta_j u).$$

We now estimate \mathcal{B}_1 in E_p . We apply Δ_j to \mathcal{B}_1 and take the L^p norm. By Bernstein's inequality (2.9) and localization of the heat kernel as (2.10), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^i \int_0^t \left[e^{-(t-s)2^{2k}} \|S_k u(s) \Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^i \int_0^t \left[e^{-(t-s)2^{2k}} \|S_k u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Bernstein's inequality (2.9),

$$\|S_k u\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sum_{l \leq k-1} \|\Delta_l u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{l \leq k-1} 2^{l\frac{3}{p}} \|\Delta_l u\|_{L^p} = \sum_{l \leq k-1} 2^l 2^{l(\frac{3}{p}-1)} \|\Delta_l u\|_{L^p}.$$

Therefore, we can replace the right-hand side of (3.3) by

$$(3.4) \quad \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^j \int_0^t \left[e^{-(t-s)2^{2k}} \left(\sum_{l \leq k-1} 2^l 2^{l(\frac{3}{p}-1)} \|\Delta_l u(s)\|_{L^p} \right) \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds.$$

By taking the L^∞ norm of (3.4) in time with the aid of Young's inequality in time, we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{L_t^\infty L^p} &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^j \left[\sum_{l \leq k-1} 2^l 2^{l(\frac{3}{p}-1)} \|\Delta_l u\|_{L_t^\infty L^p} \right] \|\Delta_k v\|_{L_t^1 L^p} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^j 2^k \|\Delta_k v\|_{L_t^1 L^p} \\ &\leq \|u\|_{E_p} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^j 2^{-k(\frac{3}{p})} 2^{k(\frac{3}{p}+1)} \|\Delta_k v\|_{L_t^1 L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply (3.5) by $2^{j(\frac{3}{p}-1)}$. Then,

$$(3.6) \quad 2^{j(\frac{3}{p}-1)} \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{L_t^\infty L^p} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_p} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{-(k-j)(\frac{3}{p})} 2^{k(\frac{3}{p}+1)} \|\Delta_k v\|_{L_t^1 L^p}.$$

Since $\frac{3}{p} > 0$, we can use Young's inequality to estimate the right-hand side of (3.6) with respect to l^q . Namely, we let $a_j = 2^{-j(\frac{3}{p})}$ and $b_j = 2^{j(\frac{3}{p}+1)} \|\triangle_j v\|_{L_t^1 L^p}$ and apply Young's inequality to $\sum_{k \geq j-2} a_{k-j} b_k$ to obtain

$$(3.7) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_p} \|v\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1 \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \leq \|u\|_{E_p} \|v\|_{E_p}.$$

Similarly, we can obtain the L^1 in time estimation of $\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)$. By taking the L^1 norm in time to (3.4) and applying Young's inequality in time,

$$(3.8) \quad \|\triangle_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{L_t^1 L^p} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_p} 2^{-2j} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^j 2^{-k(\frac{3}{p})} 2^{k(\frac{3}{p}+1)} \|\triangle_k v\|_{L_t^1 L^p}.$$

We multiply (3.8) by $2^{j(\frac{3}{p}+1)}$. Then,

$$2^{j(\frac{3}{p}+1)} \|\triangle_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{L_t^1 L^p} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_p} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{-(k-j)(\frac{3}{p})} 2^{k(\frac{3}{p}+1)} \|\triangle_k v\|_{L_t^1 L^p}$$

from which we have

$$(3.9) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{\tilde{L}_t^1 \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_p} \|v\|_{E_p}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{E_p} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_p} \|v\|_{E_p}$. By the symmetry of the paraproduct of $\mathcal{B}(u, v)$, we finally have

$$(3.10) \quad \|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{E_p} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_p} \|v\|_{E_p},$$

which completes the proof.

Remark 3.1. We will need to apply Young's inequality to sequences several times to estimate sequences having the convolution structure. Since the structure of sequences appearing later (for example, (4.5), (4.9), (4.10) and (6.3)) is exactly of the form used to obtain (3.7), we will apply Young's inequality to sequences without defining $\{a_j\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ each time.

3.2. Preliminaries. The proof of Theorem 1.2 in this section and likewise, Theorem 1.4 in section 4, requires a couple of elementary inequalities which are summarized in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Consider the operator $E := e^{-[\sqrt{t-s} + \sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}]\Lambda}$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$. Then E is either the identity operator or is an L^1 kernel whose L^1 norm is bounded independent of s, t .

Proof. Clearly, $a := \sqrt{t-s} + \sqrt{s} - \sqrt{t}$ is non-negative for $s \leq t$. In case $a = 0$, $E = e^{-a\Lambda}$ is the identity operator, while if $a > 0$, $E = e^{-a\Lambda}$ is a Fourier multiplier with symbol $\hat{E}(\xi) = \prod_{i=1}^d e^{-a|\xi_i|}$. Thus, the kernel of E is given by the product of one dimensional Poisson kernels $\prod_{i=1}^d \frac{a}{\pi(a^2 + x_i^2)}$. The L^1 norm of this kernel is bounded by a constant independent of a . \square

Lemma 3.2. The operator $E = e^{\frac{1}{2}a\Delta + \sqrt{a}\Lambda}$ is a Fourier multiplier which maps boundedly $L^p \mapsto L^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, and its operator norm is uniformly bounded with respect to $a \geq 0$.

Proof. When $a = 0$, E is the identity operator. When $a > 0$, then E is Fourier multiplier with symbol $\hat{E}(\xi) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\sqrt{a}\xi|^2 + |\sqrt{a}\xi|_1}$. Since $\hat{E}(\xi)$ is uniformly bounded for all ξ and decays exponentially for $|\xi| \gg 1$, the claim follows from Hormander's multiplier theorem, e.g., [47]. \square

3.3. Proof of theorem 1.2. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2. For the notational simplicity, we define a function space F_p such that

$$F_p = \left\{ v(t) \in E_p ; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t) \in E_p \right\}.$$

We only need to show that \mathcal{B} in (3.2) is bounded from $F_p \times F_p$ to F_p . To this end, we first apply $e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda}$ to \mathcal{B} in (3.2).

$$(3.11) \quad e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v) = e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \int_0^t \left[e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (u \otimes v)(s) \right] ds.$$

Let $U(s) = e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} u$, $V(s) = e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} v$, with $U, V \in E_p$. Then,

$$(3.12) \quad e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v) = e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \int_0^t \left[e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V)(s) \right] ds.$$

We rewrite (3.12) as

$$(3.13) \quad e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v) = \int_0^t \left[e^{(\sqrt{t}-\sqrt{s})\Lambda} e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-s)\Delta} e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-s)\Delta} e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V)(s) \right] ds.$$

We apply Δ_j to (3.13) and take the L^p norm. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(3.14) \quad \|\Delta_j e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \int_0^t \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)2^{2j}} 2^j \|e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} \Delta_j (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V)(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds.$$

To deal with the right-hand side of (3.14), we decompose the product $e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V$ as

$$e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} S_j U) \otimes (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} \Delta_j V) + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} \Delta_j U) \otimes (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} S_j V).$$

Then,

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\Delta_j e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L^p} &\leq \int_0^t \sum_{k \geq j-2} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)2^{2j}} 2^j \|e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} S_k U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} \Delta_k V)(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sum_{k \geq j-2} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)2^{2j}} 2^j \|e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} \Delta_k U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} S_k V)(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the right-hand side of (3.15), we introduce the bilinear operators B_t of the form

$$B_t(f, g) = e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} (e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda} f e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda} g) = \int_{R^3} \int_{R^3} e^{ix \cdot (\xi + \eta)} e^{\sqrt{t}(|\xi + \eta|_1 - |\xi|_1 - |\eta|_1)} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) d\xi d\eta.$$

Recall that for a vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, we denoted $\|\xi\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 |\xi_i|$. As one can see below,

this l^1 version of Λ instead of the usual l^2 version of Λ is crucial to estimate B_t . For $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$, we now split the domain of integration of the above integral into

sub-domains depending on the sign of ξ_j, η_j and $\xi_j + \eta_j$. In order to do so, we introduce the operators acting on one variable (see page 253 in [33]) by

$$K_1 f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad K_{-1} f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let the operators $L_{a,-1}$ and $L_{a,1}$ be defined by

$$L_{a,1} f = f, \quad L_{a,-1} f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ix\xi} e^{-2a|\xi|} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.$$

For $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in \{-1, 1\}^3$, denote the operator

$$Z_{a,\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}} = K_{\beta_1} L_{t,\alpha_1\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes K_{\beta_3} L_{t,\alpha_3\beta_3} \text{ and } K_{\vec{\alpha}} = K_{\alpha_1} \otimes K_{\alpha_2} \otimes K_{\alpha_3}.$$

The above tensor product means that the j -th operator in the tensor product acts on the j -th variable of the function $f(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. A tedious (but elementary) calculation now yields the following identity:

$$(3.16) \quad B_t(f, g) = \sum_{(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{\gamma}) \in \{-1, 1\}^{3 \times 3}} K_{\alpha_1} \otimes K_{\alpha_2} \otimes K_{\alpha_3} (Z_{t,\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}} f Z_{t,\vec{\alpha},\vec{\gamma}} g),$$

We now note that the operators $K_{\vec{\alpha}}, Z_{a,\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}}$ defined above, being linear combinations of Fourier multipliers (including Hilbert transform) and the identity operator, commute with Λ . Moreover, they are bounded linear operators on $L^p, 1 < p < \infty$ and the corresponding operator norm of $Z_{t,\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}}$ is bounded independent of $t \geq 0$. By taking the L^p norm to (3.16), we have

$$\|B_t(f, g)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|Z_{t,\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}} f Z_{t,\vec{\alpha},\vec{\gamma}} g\|_{L^p}.$$

We now apply this argument the right-hand side of (3.15). Then,

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L^p} &\lesssim \int_0^t \sum_{k \geq j-2} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)2^{2j}} 2^j \|Z S_k U \otimes Z \Delta_k V\|_{L^p} \right] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \sum_{k \geq j-2} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)2^{2j}} 2^j \|Z \Delta_k U \otimes Z S_k V\|_{L^p} \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we denote Z without indices $t, \vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}$ for the notational simplicity. By Bernstein's inequality (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z S_k u\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \sum_{l \leq k-1} \|Z \Delta_l u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{l \leq k-1} \|\Delta_l Z u\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{l \leq k-1} 2^{l\frac{3}{p}} \|\Delta_l Z u\|_{L^p} \lesssim \sum_{l \leq k-1} 2^l 2^{l(\frac{3}{p}-1)} \|\Delta_l u\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that Z commutes with Δ_l and the boundedness of Z on L^p . Therefore, we can follow the lines from (3.4) to (3.10) in proof of Theorem 1.1 to obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{F_p} \lesssim \|U\|_{E_p} \|V\|_{E_p} \leq \|u\|_{F_p} \|v\|_{F_p},$$

which completes the proof.

4. THE CASE $p = \infty$: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 (EXISTENCE) AND THEOREM 1.4 (ANALYTICITY)

We now show the well-posedness and analyticity for the Navier-Stokes equations of the limiting case $p = \infty$. To this end, we recall the definition of the space E_∞

$$E_\infty := \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}' : \|u\|_{E_\infty} = \|u\|_{\tilde{L}_t^\infty \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0} + \sup_{t>0} \left[\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \right\}.$$

As one can see below, we need to obtain two additional estimates $t^{\frac{1}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$ and $t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^0}$. (See (4.10), (4.14) and (4.18)). These terms can be obtained by interpolating $\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}}$ and $t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, but we proceed the proof by establishing bounds in K_∞ whose norm is given by

$$(4.1) \quad \|v\|_{K_\infty} = \sup_{t>0} \left[\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} + t^{\frac{1}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} + t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^0} + t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right]$$

to avoid complicated expressions coming from the interpolation. The time weights appearing in (4.1) will be introduced below through the Gaussian bound,

$$(4.2) \quad |\xi|^a e^{-t|\xi|^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{a}{2}}.$$

In addition, we will use the following lemma repeatedly in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $0 < a < 1$ and $0 < b < 1$,*

$$\int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-a} s^{-b} \right] ds \lesssim t^{1-a-b}.$$

The result follows by decomposing the time integral into two parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-a} s^{-b} \right] ds &= \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[(t-s)^{-a} s^{-b} \right] ds + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[(t-s)^{-a} s^{-b} \right] ds \\ &\lesssim t^{-a} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} s^{-b} ds + t^{-b} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t (t-s)^{-a} ds. \end{aligned}$$

4.1. Proof of theorem 1.3. As we did in the proof Theorem 1.2, we need to show that \mathcal{B} maps $E_\infty \times E_\infty$ to E_∞ . Since we already estimated the bilinear term \mathcal{B} in $\dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0$ in Theorem 1.1, we only need to show that \mathcal{B} maps from $E_\infty \times E_\infty$ to K_∞ . We decompose $u \otimes v$ as (2.5). Then,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(u, v) &= \int_0^t \left[\nabla e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P}(T_u \otimes v + T_v \otimes u + R(u \otimes v)) \right] ds \\ &:= \mathcal{B}_1(u, v) + \mathcal{B}_2(u, v) + \mathcal{B}_3(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Estimation of $\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)$. We estimate \mathcal{B}_3 first, where we need the auxiliary norm $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0}$. By Bernstein's inequality (2.9) and localization of the heat kernel (2.10),

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^j \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{L^3} \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t \left[2^{2j} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} \|\Delta_k u(s)\|_{L^3} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0} \int_0^t \left[2^{\frac{3j}{2}} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\
&\leq \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_0^t \left[2^{\frac{3j}{2}} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

We will repeatedly use (4.4) to estimate $\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)$ in K_∞ . To estimate $\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)$ in $L_t^\infty \dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}$, we multiply (4.4) by 2^{-j} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad 2^{-j} \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_0^t \left[2^{\frac{j}{2}} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\
&\lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} s^{\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking the l^q norm to (4.5) with the aid of Young's inequality (as mentioned in Remark 3.1), we have

$$\|\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{4}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right] ds.$$

Therefore, Lemma 4.1 implies that

$$(4.6) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}.$$

We will do the same calculation to estimate \mathcal{B}_3 for the next two terms in (4.1) without details.

$$(4.7) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right] ds \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

$$(4.8) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^0} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right] ds \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

To estimate $t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, we need to divide the time integration into two parts.

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3j}{2}} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[2^j e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} \|\Delta_k u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^3} \right] ds \\
&\quad + 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[2^j e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} \|\Delta_k u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\
&= I_j + II_j.
\end{aligned}$$

We begin with I_j . By Bernstein's inequality (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
I_j &= \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[2^{2j} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} \|\Delta_k u(s)\|_{L^3} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{t-s} \sum_{k \geq j-2} \|\Delta_k u(s)\|_{L^3} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\
&\leq \|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{t-s} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\
&\leq \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[\frac{1}{t-s} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality, we obtain that

$$(4.9) \quad \{I_j\}_{l^q} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[(t-s)^{-1} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right] ds \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

Next, we estimate II_j .

$$\begin{aligned}
II_j &\lesssim \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\
&= \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{\frac{j-k}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_k u(s)\|_{L^\infty} s^{\frac{3}{4}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds
\end{aligned}$$

from which we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10) \quad \{II_j\}_{l^q} &\lesssim \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^0} \right] \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] ds \\
&\lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.9) and (4.10), we have

$$(4.11) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

Therefore, by (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), and (4.13),

$$(4.12) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)\|_{K_\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}.$$

Estimation of $\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)$ and $\mathcal{B}_2(u, v)$. Now, we estimate $\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)$. By applying Δ_j to $\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)$ and taking the L^∞ norm, we have

$$\|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \int_0^t \left[2^j e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \|S_j u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds.$$

We note that we used the auxiliary norm $\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0}$ to replace $\|\Delta_k u\|_{L^\infty}$ by $\|\Delta_k u\|_{L^3}$ to gain one derivative to estimate $\mathcal{B}_3(u, v)$. Here, we can gain one derivative from $S_j u$ to estimate \mathcal{B}_1 and

$\mathcal{B}_2(u, v)$ as follows.

$$(4.13) \quad \|S_j u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{l=-\infty}^j \|\Delta_l u\|_{L^\infty} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^j 2^l 2^{-l} \|\Delta_l u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 2^j \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} \leq 2^j \|u\|_{E_\infty}.$$

We will use this property to estimate $\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)$ for the first three terms in (4.1). We begin with the estimation of $\|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-j} \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_0^t \left[2^j e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\ &= \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by lemma 4.1, that

$$(4.14) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^0} \right] \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right] ds \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}.$$

Again, we will use the same calculation to estimate $\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)$ for the following two terms without details.

$$(4.15) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right] ds \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

$$(4.16) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^0} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right] ds \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

To estimate $t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, we need to divide the time integration into two parts.

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\Delta_j \mathcal{B}_1(u, v)(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^j e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \|S_j u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^j e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \|S_j u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\ &= III_j + IV_j. \end{aligned}$$

We begin with III_j . By (4.13),

$$III_j \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[2^{2j} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds.$$

Thus, we have

$$(4.17) \quad \{III_j\}_{l^q} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \left[(t-s)^{-1} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right] ds \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

To estimate IV_j , we slightly change the estimation of $\|S_j u\|_{L^\infty}$ as follows:

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|S_j u(s)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{l=-\infty}^j \|\Delta_l u(s)\|_{L^\infty} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^j 2^{\frac{l}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} 2^{-\frac{l}{2}} s^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_l u(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{j}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left[\sup_{s>0} s^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \leq 2^{\frac{j}{2}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{E_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} IV_j &\lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[2^{\frac{3j}{2}} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(4.19) \quad \{IV\}_{l^q} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \left[\sup_{t>0} t^{\frac{3}{4}} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{3}{4}} s^{-\frac{1}{4}} s^{-\frac{3}{4}} \right] ds \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

By (4.17) and (4.19), we have

$$(4.20) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,q}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \frac{\|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}}{t^{\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

Therefore, By (4.14), (4.15), (4.16), and (4.20),

$$(4.21) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)\|_{K_\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}.$$

Since $\mathcal{B}_2(u, v)$ is of the form of $\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)$ by changing the role of u and v , we have

$$(4.22) \quad \|\mathcal{B}_2(u, v)\|_{K_\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}.$$

Combining (4.12), (4.21), and (4.22), we finally have

$$(4.23) \quad \|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{K_\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{E_\infty} \|v\|_{E_\infty}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4.2. Proof of theorem 1.4. We can prove Theorem 1.4 along the lines the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. We define a function space F_∞ such that

$$F_\infty = \left\{ v(t) \in E_\infty ; e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t) \in E_\infty \right\}.$$

We only need to show that \mathcal{B} in (3.2) is bounded from $F_\infty \times F_\infty$ to F_∞ . Let $U(s) = e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} u$, $V(s) = e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} v$, with $U, V \in E_\infty$. By replacing the L^p norm by the L^∞ norm in (3.14), we have

$$(4.24) \quad \|\Delta_j e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \int_0^t \left[e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-s)2^{2j}} 2^j \|e^{\sqrt{s}\Lambda} \Delta_j (e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V)(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right] ds.$$

Then, we decompose $(e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V)$ as

$$T_{e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U} \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V + T_{e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V} \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U + R(e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} U \otimes e^{-\sqrt{s}\Lambda} V)$$

and follows calculations in the proof of theorem 1.3. In general, $K_{\vec{\alpha}}$ and $Z_{t,\vec{\alpha},\vec{\beta}}$ do not map L^∞ to L^∞ . However, these operators are bounded in L^∞ when localized in dyadic blocks in the Fourier spaces. Therefore,

$$(4.25) \quad \|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{K_\infty} \lesssim \|U\|_{E_\infty} \|V\|_{E_\infty} \leq \|u\|_{F_\infty} \|v\|_{F_\infty}.$$

Since we already obtained $\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} \mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{B}_{3,\infty}^0}$ in section 3, we finally have

$$\|\mathcal{B}(u, v)\|_{F_\infty} \lesssim \|u\|_{F_\infty} \|v\|_{F_\infty}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5: DECAY OF BESOV NORMS

In this section, we will obtain various decay estimates of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in Besov spaces. We need the following lemma to proceed.

Lemma 5.1. *The Fourier multipliers corresponding to the symbols $m(\xi) = |\xi|^\zeta e^{-\sqrt{t}|\xi|_1}$ are given by convolution with corresponding kernels k which is a L^1 functions with $\|k\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{C_\zeta}{t^{\zeta/2}}$.*

Proof. For a proof of the L^1 bound on k , we apply lemma 2.1 in [33] under the scaling: $\xi \mapsto t^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi$. \square

Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4 tell us that if initial data are sufficiently small in critical spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$, then the solution is globally in the Gevrey class. Then, lemma 5.1 allows us to obtain the following time decay of (homogeneous) Besov norms:

$$\|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} = \|\Lambda_2^\zeta e^{-\sqrt{t}\Lambda} e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq C_\zeta t^{-\frac{\zeta}{2}} \|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}, \quad \zeta > 0,$$

where we recall that $\Lambda_2 = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If we can show that a solution $v(t)$ satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} = 0,$$

then due to Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4, after a certain transient time t_0 , we have

$$\sup_{t > t_0} \|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} < \infty.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad \|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq C_\zeta \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} (t - t_0)^{-\frac{\zeta}{2}}, \quad \zeta > 0,$$

where $\|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$ is sufficiently small to apply Theorem 1.2 or Theorem 1.4.

5.1. Proof of theorem 1.5. We only need to show (5.1). For $v_0 \in L^2$, we have the following energy inequality:

$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

This implies that

$$(5.3) \quad \sup_{t>0} \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} = 0.$$

In order to obtain the second relation in (5.3), for $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrary, choose t large so that $\frac{1}{t}\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$. We note that the energy inequality yields $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{t}\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2$. This immediately implies that there exists $t_0 \in (0, t)$ such that $\|\nabla v(t_0)\|_{L^2}^2 < \epsilon$. Due the uniform bound on $\|v(t)\|_{L^2}$, it also follows that $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\beta} = 0$ for $0 < \beta \leq 1$.

For $p = q = 2$, $\dot{B}_{2,2}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus by (5.2), we have

$$(5.4) \quad \|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_\zeta \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}} (t - t_0)^{-\frac{\zeta}{2}}, \quad \zeta > 0,$$

where $\|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is sufficiently small to apply Theorem 1.2.

For $p > 2$ and $q \geq 2$, the embedding $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}} \subset \dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}$ implies that $\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore,

$$(5.5) \quad \|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq C_\zeta \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} (t - t_0)^{-\frac{\zeta}{2}}, \quad \zeta > 0,$$

where $\|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$ is sufficiently small to apply Theorem 1.2 for $p < \infty$ or Theorem 1.4 for $p = \infty$ and $q < \infty$.

To deal with the case $p < 2$, we will use the vorticity $\omega = \nabla \times v$. From the vorticity equation, $\omega_t + v \cdot \nabla \omega - \Delta \omega = \omega \nabla v$, we have ([11])

$$(5.6) \quad \|\omega(t)\|_{L^1} \leq \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\omega_0\|_{L^1}.$$

We will use this L^1 vorticity bound to estimate the decay rate in Besov spaces. By the interpolation of L^1 norm and L^2 norm of $\Delta_j v(t)$, we have

$$(5.7) \quad \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}^\alpha \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^1}^{1-\alpha}, \quad \alpha = \frac{2p-2}{p}.$$

We multiply (5.7) by $2^{j(\frac{3}{p}-1+\zeta)}$. Then,

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 2^{j(\frac{3}{p}-1+\zeta)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^p} &\leq C 2^{j(\frac{1}{p}+\zeta)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}^\alpha (2^j \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^1})^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq C 2^{j(\frac{1}{p}+\zeta)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}^\alpha (\|\Delta_j w(t)\|_{L^1})^{1-\alpha} \\ &\leq C 2^{j(\frac{1}{p}+\zeta)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that

$$\|\Delta_j \nabla v\|_{L^1} \leq C \|\Delta_j w\|_{L^1} \leq C \|w\|_{L^1}, \quad C \text{ independent of } j.$$

By taking the l^q norm to (5.8), we have

$$(5.9) \quad \|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq C \left(\sum_j 2^{jq(\frac{1}{p}+\zeta)} \|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^2}^{\alpha q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We take q_0 such as $\alpha q_0 = 2$. Then for $q \geq q_0 = \frac{p}{p-1} > 2$, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq C \|v(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{q}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\zeta)}}^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

Since $\frac{q}{2}(\frac{1}{p}+\zeta) = \frac{1}{2(p-1)} + \frac{q\zeta}{2} > \frac{1}{2}$ for $p < 2$, the right-hand side of (5.10) goes to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by (5.4). Therefore,

$$(5.11) \quad \|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \leq C_\zeta \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} (t-t_0)^{-\frac{\zeta}{2}}, \quad \zeta > 0,$$

where $\|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$ is sufficiently small to apply Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Remark 5.1. By using the relation between Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}_{p,q}^s$

$$\dot{B}_{p,p}^s \subset \dot{F}_{p,p}^s,$$

we can obtain decay of weak solutions in Sobolev spaces $\dot{W}^{s,p}$ with $p < 2$. (For the definition of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and embedding properties, see [20]). By taking $p = q < 2$,

$$\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,p}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \lesssim \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,p}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}.$$

Since $l^p \subset l^2$ for $p < 2$,

$$\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t)\|_{\dot{F}_{p,2}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} \lesssim \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,p}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$$

which is equivalent to the estimate solutions in the potential function $W^{s_0,p}$ such that

$$\|e^{\sqrt{t}\Lambda} v(t)\|_{\dot{W}^{s_0,p}} \lesssim \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}, \quad s_0 = \frac{3}{p} - 1 > 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\Lambda_2^\zeta v(t)\|_{L^p} \leq C_\zeta \|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,p}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}} (t-t_0)^{-\frac{\zeta-s_0}{2}}, \quad \zeta > s_0,$$

where $\|v(t_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,p}^{\frac{3}{p}-1}}$ is sufficiently small to apply Theorem 1.2.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.6: REGULARITY CONDITION IN BESOV SPACES

In order to prove Theorem 1.6, we only need to show that $\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^\infty}$ appearing in the blowup criterion ([3]) can be controlled by (1.5). To this end, we will estimate ∇v in Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}}$ which is contained in the L^∞ . As before, we express v in the integral form:

$$(6.1) \quad v(t) = e^{t\Delta} v_0 - \int_0^t \left[e^{(t-s)\Delta} \mathbb{P} \nabla \cdot (v \otimes v)(s) \right] ds.$$

By applying Δ_j to (6.1) and taking the L^p norm, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta_j v(t)\|_{L^p} &\lesssim e^{-t2^{2j}} \|\Delta_j v_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t \left[2^j e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \|\Delta_j(v \otimes v)(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds \\
&\lesssim e^{-t2^{2j}} \|\Delta_j v_0\|_{L^p} + \int_0^t 2^j \left[e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \left(\|S_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^p} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{k\frac{3}{p}} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^p} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^p} \right) \right] ds \\
&= e^{-t2^{2j}} \|\Delta_j v_0\|_{L^p} + I + II,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the decomposition $v \otimes v = 2T_v \otimes v + R(v \otimes v)$ at the second inequality.

Estimation of I. By Bernstein's inequality (2.9),

$$\|S_j v(s)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{l=-\infty}^j 2^{l\frac{3}{p}} 2^{-\sigma l} 2^{\sigma l} \|\Delta_l v(s)\|_{L^p} \lesssim 2^{j(\frac{3}{p}-\sigma)} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma},$$

where we use the condition $\frac{3}{p} - \sigma = 1 - \frac{2}{q} > 0$ for $q > 2$. Then,

$$I(t) \lesssim \int_0^t \left[2^{j(1+\frac{3}{p}-\sigma)} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|\Delta_j v(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(I)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} &\lesssim \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{3}{p}-\sigma)} s^{-\frac{1}{q}} s^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \right] ds \\
(6.2) \quad &\lesssim \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \left[\tau^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \right] \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{3}{p}-\sigma)} s^{-\frac{1}{q}} \right] ds \\
&\lesssim \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \left[\tau^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the condition $1 + \frac{3}{p} - \sigma = 2 - \frac{2}{q} < 2$ for $q < \infty$, and $\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{p} - \sigma \right) + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ to apply Lemma 4.1.

Estimation of II.

$$\begin{aligned}
II(t) &\lesssim \int_0^t \left[2^j e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{-k} 2^{-k\sigma} 2^{k\sigma} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^p} 2^{k(\frac{3}{p}+1)} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t \left[2^{-j\sigma} e^{-(t-s)2^{2j}} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \sum_{k \geq j-2} 2^{(j-k)} 2^{k(\frac{1}{p}+1)} \|\Delta_k v(s)\|_{L^p} \right] ds
\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.3) \quad & \|II(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \lesssim \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{3}{p}-\sigma)} s^{-\frac{1}{q}} s^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|v(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \right] ds \\
 & \lesssim \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \left[\tau^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \right] \int_0^t \left[(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{3}{p}-\sigma)} s^{-\frac{1}{q}} \right] ds \\
 & \lesssim \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \left[\tau^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By (6.2) and (6.3),

$$(6.4) \quad \|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \lesssim \|v_0\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} + \sup_{0 < \tau < t} \left[\tau^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|v(\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \right].$$

We translate the time interval from $[0, t]$ to $[T-a, T]$. Then,

$$(6.5) \quad \|v(T)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \lesssim \|v(T-a)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} + \sup_{0 < \tau < a} \left[(\tau)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|v(t+\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^\sigma} \|v(t+\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}} \right].$$

Therefore, $\|v(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{3}{p}+1}}$ does not blow up at T as long as (1.5) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H.B. gratefully acknowledges the support by the Center for Scientific Computation and Mathematical Modeling (CSCAMM) at University of Maryland where this research was performed. A. B. also thanks the Center for Scientific Computation and Mathematical Modeling at the University of Maryland for hosting him while this work was completed and the University of North Carolina at Charlotte for partial support via the reassignment of duties program.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bae and A. Biswas, *Gevrey regularity for a class of dissipative equations with analytic nonlinearity*, preprint (2011).
2. H. Bae, A. Biswas, and E. Tadmor, *Analyticity of the subcritical and critical quasi-geostrophic equations in Besov spaces*, preprint (2011).
3. A. Bertozzi and A. Majda, *Vorticity and incompressible flow*, Cambridge University Press, xii+545 pp., 2002.
4. A. Biswas and D. Swanson, *Gevrey regularity of solutions to the 3-D Navier-Stokes equations with weighted l_p initial data*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56** (2007), no. 3, 1157–1188.
5. J. Bourgain and N. Pavlovic, *Ill-posedness of the Navier-Stokes equations in a critical space in 3D*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), no. 9, 2233–2247.
6. M. Cannone, *Ondelettes, paraproducts et Navier-Stokes*, Diderot Editeur, Paris (1995).
7. M. Cannone and F. Planchon, *Self-similar solutions for Navier-stokes equations in R^3* , Comm. Partial Differential Equations **21** (1996), 179–193.
8. J.Y. Chemin, *Perfect incompressible fluids*, Oxford University Press, USA, 1998.
9. Y. Chemin, *Thormes d'unicit pour le systme de Navier-Stokes tridimensionnel*, J. Anal. Math. **77** (1999), 27–50.
10. A. Cheskidov and R. Shvydkoy, *The regularity of weak solutions of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations in $B_{\infty,\infty}^{-1}$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **195** (2011), 159–169.
11. P. Constantin and C. Fefferman, *Direction of vorticity and the problem of global regularity for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **42**, no. 3.

12. A. Doelman and E. S. Titi, *Regularity of solutions and the convergence of the galerkin method in the complex ginzburg–landau equation*, Numer. Func. Opt. Anal. **14** (1993), 299–321.
13. C.R. Doering and E.S. Titi, *Exponential decay rate of the power spectrum for solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations*, Physics of Fluids **7** (1995), 1384–1390.
14. H. Dong and D. Li, *Spatial analyticity of the solutions to the subcritical dissipative quasi-geostrophic equations*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **189** (2008), 131–158.
15. ———, *Optimal local smoothing and analyticity rate estimates for the generalized Navier–Stokes equations*, Commun. Math. Sci. **7** (2009), no. 1, 67–80.
16. L. Escauriaza, G. Serigin, and V. Sverak, *$L_{3,\infty}$ solutions of Navier–Stokes equations and backward uniqueness*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. **58** (2003), 3–44.
17. C. Foias, *What do the Navier–Stokes equations tell us about turbulence? Harmonic Analysis and Nonlinear Differential Equations (Riverside, 1995)*, Contemp. Math. **208** (1997), 151–180.
18. C. Foias and R. Temam, *Some analytic and geometric properties of the solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **58** (1979), 339–368.
19. C. Foias and R. Temam, *Gevrey class regularity for the solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **87** (1989), 359–369.
20. M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, and G. Weiss, *Littlewood–Paley theory and the study of function spaces*, CBMS regional conference series in mathematics, no. 79, 1991.
21. H. Fujita and T. Kato, *On the Navier–Stokes initial value problem. I*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **16** (1964), 269–315.
22. P. Germain, *The second iterate for the Navier–Stokes equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), no. 9, 2248–2264.
23. P. Germain, N. Pavlovic, and G. Staffilani, *Regularity of solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations evolving from small data in BMO^{-1}* , Int. Math. Res. Not. **21** (2007), 35 pages.
24. Z. Grujic, *The geometric structure of the super-level sets and regularity for 3D Navier–Stokes equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), no. 3, 1309–1317.
25. Z. Grujic and I. Kukavica, *Space analyticity for the Navier–Stokes equations and related equations with initial data in L^p* , J. Funct. Anal. **152** (1998), 447–466.
26. R. Guberovic, *Smoothness of Koch–Tataru solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations revisited*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **27** (2010), no. 1, 231–236.
27. W.D. Henshaw, H.O. Kreiss, and L.G. Reyna, *Smallest scale estimates for the Navier–Stokes equations for incompressible fluids*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **112** (1990), no. 1, 21–44.
28. ———, *On smallest scale estimates and a comparison of the vortex method to the pseudo-spectral method*, Vortex Dynamics and Vortex Methods (1991), 303–325.
29. T. Kato, *Strong L^p solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations in R^m with applications to weak solutions*, Math. Zeit. **187** (1984), 471–480.
30. H. Koch and D. Tataru, *Well-posedness for the Navier–Stokes equations*, Adv. Math. **157** (2001), 22–35.
31. I. Kukavica, *Level sets of the vorticity and the stream function for the 2-D periodic Navier–Stokes equations with potential forces*, J. Differential Equations **126** (1996), 374–388.
32. ———, *On the dissipative scale for the Navier–Stokes equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), no. 3, 1057–1082.
33. P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Recent developments in the Navier–Stokes problem, volume 431 of Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, BocaRaton, FL, 2002.
34. P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, *Une remarque sur l’analyticité des solutions milds des equations de Navier–Stokes dans R^3* , Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie I. **330** (2000), 183–186.
35. J. Leray, *Essai sur le mouvement d’un fluide visqueux emplissant l’espace*, Acta Math. **63** (1934), 193–248.
36. K. Masuda, *On the analyticity and the unique continuation theorem for Navier–Stokes equations*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **43** (1967), 827–832.
37. H. Miura and O. Sawada, *On the regularizing rate estimates of Koch–Tataru’s solution to the Navier–Stokes equations*, Asymptot. Anal. **49** (2006), 1–15.
38. T. Miyakawa and M.E. Schonbek, *On optimal decay rates for weak solutions to the navier-stokes equations in r^n* , Mathematica Bohemica **126** (2001), no. 2, 443–455.
39. M. Oliver and E.S. Titi, *Remark on the Rate of Decay of Higher Order Derivatives for Solutions to the Navier–Stokes Equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , J. Funct. Anal. **172** (2000), no. 1, 1–18.

40. F. Planchon, *Asymptotic behavior of global solutions to the Navier-stokes equations in R^3* , Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **129** (2001), no. 10, 3025–3029.
41. M. E. Schonbek, *Large Time Behaviour of Solutions to the Navier-Stokes Equations in H^m Spaces*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **11** (1986), 733–763.
42. M.E. Schonbek, *l^2 decay for weak solutions of the navier-stokes equations*, Archive for rational mechanics and analysis **88** (1985), no. 3, 209–222.
43. ———, *Lower bounds of rates of decay for solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 3, 423–449.
44. ———, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the three-dimensional NavierStokes equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **41** (1992), 809–823.
45. M.E. Schonbek and M. Wiegner, *On the decay of higher-order norms of the solutions of Navier-Stokes equations*, **126** (1996), no. 3, 677–685.
46. J. Serrin, *On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, **9** (1962), 187–191.
47. E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ Pr, 1970.
48. M.E. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential operators and nonlinear PDE*, *Progress in Mathematics*, vol. 100, Birkhauser, 1991.
49. J. Vukadinovic, P. Constantin, and E.S. Titi, *Dissipativity and Gevrey Regularity of a Smoluchowski Equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **54** (2005), no. 4, 949–970.
50. M. Wiegner, *Decay results for weak solutions of the NavierStokes equations on R^n* , J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1987), 303–313.
51. T. Yoneda, *Ill-posedness of the 3D Navier-Stokes equations in a generalized Besov space near BMO^{-1}* , J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), no. 10, 3376–3387.

CENTER FOR SCIENTIFIC COMPUTATION AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742

E-mail address: hbae@cscamm.umd.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHARLOTTE, NC 28223.

E-mail address: abiswas@uncc.edu

CENTER FOR SCIENTIFIC COMPUTATION AND MATHEMATICAL MODELING, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742.

E-mail address: tadmor@cscamm.umd.edu