The post-adiabatic correction to the phase of gravitational wave for quasi-circular extreme mass-ratio inspirals.

Based on unpublished, still progressing works

The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality & Emergene **TP**

Soichiro Isoyama

(Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University)

Collaborators : Norichika Sago, Ryuchi Fujita, Hideyuki Tagoshi and Takahiro Tanaka

Extreme Mass Ratio Inspiral

A compact object inpirals into a more massive black hole: Promising sources of gravitational waves (GWs) for eLISA DECIGO/BBO (2020?)

The considered EMRI

- A Kerr black hole and an object q := a/M $\nu := (M\mu)/(M + \mu)^2$
- The object in **qusi-**circular orbit (The same direction of B.H. spin)



The gravitational waves from EMRI allows us to test Relativity near a black hole.

Why self-forces (SFs)? Accurate prediction of the wave form is very welcomed [T.Hinderer and E. Flanagan (2008)] The total phase of GWs $\Phi := \frac{\dot{M^2}}{\mu} \left[\Phi^{(0)}(\tilde{t}) + \frac{\mu}{M} \Phi^{(1)}(\tilde{t}) + O\left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^2 \right]$ $\tilde{t} := (\mu/M)t \quad \mu$ Averaged 1st order dissipative SFs Oscillating 1st order dissipative SFs 1st order conservative SFs [N. Warburton+ (2011) , K. Lackeos and L. Burko(2012)] Averaged 2nd order dissipative SFs [E.Rosenthal (2006) S.Detweiler (2011) A.Pound (2012) , S.Gralla (2012)] What can we say the dephasing from the 2nd order dissipative self-forces?

GWs from circularized inspirals

The phase evolution of GWs from EMRIs in the last year of inspiral is related to **the particle's energy** $E^{(P)}$



The relative order from the leading term is different

$$\begin{split} \dot{E}^{(P)} &:= \dot{E}^{(1)} (1 + \nu \dot{E}^{(2)} + O(\nu^2)) \\ E'^{(P)} &:= E'^{(G)} (1 + \nu E'^{(1)} + O(\nu^2)) \quad \tilde{t} := (\mu/M)t \\ \textbf{Geodesics} \quad \textbf{1}^{\text{st}} \, \textbf{SFs} \quad \textbf{2}^{\text{nd}} \, \textbf{SFs} \end{split}$$

Incorporate into the PN theory

Borrow partial knowledge from the PN formalism

$$\Phi := 2 \int_{x_{\rm ISCO}}^{x_0} dx \frac{x^{3/2}}{m} \frac{dE^{(P)}(x)/dx}{dE^{(P)}(x)/dt} \quad x := (m\Omega_{\phi})^{2/3}$$

We assume the rate that energy is lost through GWs is equal to the rate that the SFs remove energy from the orbit.

Balance argument for phase evolution

GW energy flux emitted to the infinity (and to a Kerr black hole: suppressed)

$$-\left\langle \frac{dE^{(P)}}{dt} \right\rangle = \mathcal{L}_{\infty} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{H}} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{H}} \leq 10^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$$
$$_{(a = 0.998M)}$$

Dissipative SFs as GWs energy flux

The effects of dissipative SFs in circular orbits can be read out from the Taylor expanded energy flux.

$$\mathcal{L} := \frac{32}{5} \nu^2 x^5 \left(\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(x) + \nu \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) + O(\nu^2) \right) \quad x := (m\Omega_\phi)^{2/3}$$

The flux from a particle in circular orbit around a Kerr black hole is ($\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$: only linear spin coupling terms).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{(0)}(q) &= \sum_{k=0}^{4} \sum_{p=0}^{[k/3]} F^{(k, p)}(q) x^k (\log(x))^p + O(x^{9/2}) \\ \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(q) &= \sum_{k=0}^{3} \mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{\text{liniar}}(q) x^k + \mathcal{O}(x^{7/2}) \\ \text{Dissipative 2}^{\text{nd}} \text{ order SFs but} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{[L.Blanchet+ (2011)]} \\ \text{Need full} \\ \text{dissipative 2}^{\text{nd}} \text{order SFs but} \end{aligned}$$

evaluated within PN formalism

[E.Huerta and J. Gair (2009), N. Yunes+(2011)]

Energy flux can be negative

Taylor expanded flux with spin dependent terms becomes **negative** outside the ISCO radius in the test particle limit.



Need to cure the negative flux before calculation.

Exponential resummation. 1

The GWs energy flux should be positive definite

Exponential resummation in the test particle limit

$$\frac{32}{5}\nu^2 x^5 \mathcal{L}^{(0)}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{(0)}(x) = \frac{32}{5}\nu^2 x^5 \exp\left[\log(\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(x))\right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^4 \sum_{p=0}^{[k/3]} F^{(k, p)}(q) x^k (\log(x))^p + O(x^{9/2}) \quad x := (m\Omega_{\phi})^{2/3}$$

Exponential resummation improves the accuracy of the analytic energy flux, at the same time.



Exponential resummation. 2

We also introduce a exponential resummation with finite mass correction. Exponential resummation

$$\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{32}{5} \exp\left[\log\left(\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(x)\right) + \log\left(1 + \nu \frac{\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x)}{\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(x)} + O(\nu^2)\right)\right]$$

Moreover, test particle sector can be replaced with the exact Teukolsky flux: **Hybrid flux**

$$\mathcal{F}^{(1)}(x) = \left(\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle_{\text{Teukolsky}} \exp\left(\nu \frac{\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x)}{\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(x)} \right) \right)$$

How to estimate "residual" correction? $\mathcal{F} := \frac{32}{5}\nu^2 x^5 \left(\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(x) + \nu \mathcal{L}^{(1)}(x) + O(\nu^2) \right) \quad x := (m\Omega_{\phi})^{2/3}$ $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{3} G_{\text{liniar}}^{(k)}(q) x^k + O(x^{7/2}) \text{Residuals}$

Exponential resummation (Hybrid flux) Full 2nd SFs

We try to estimate the phase correction from "residuals" part of the flux via following extrapolation.

$$\Delta\Phi_{2nd} := \Delta\Phi_{\mathrm{PN}}^{(1)} \times \left(\frac{\Phi^G - \Delta\Phi_{\mathrm{PN}}^G}{\Delta\Phi_{\mathrm{PN}}^G}\right) \Delta\Phi^G := 2 \int_{x_{\mathrm{ISCO}}}^{x_0} dx \frac{x^{3/2}}{m} \frac{dE^G(x)/dx}{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{Teukolsky}}} \Delta\Phi^G := 2 \int_{x_{\mathrm{ISCO}}}^{x_0} dx \frac{x^{3/2}}{m} \frac{dE^G(x)/dx}{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{exp}}^G}$$

 $\Delta \Phi_{\rm PN}^{(1)} := 2 \int_{x_0}^{x_0} dx \frac{x^{3/2}}{m} \frac{dE^G(x)/dx}{\tau^{(1)}} - \Phi_G$

Is it acceptable ??

Scaling law of the Coefficients in the flux

Normalize with the orbital frequency at the light ring since the source term of Teukolsky equation diverges there.



The coefficients scales with respect to the PN order

Spin and finite mass effect

Spin and finite mass dependence in the coefficients may not ruin the scaling behavior. (Incomplete, however.)



The dephasing from higher PN terms in the flux may estimated via extrapolation the one from lower PN terms.

$\begin{array}{c} Results \\ \Delta \Phi_{\rm PN}^{(1)} & \Delta \Phi_{2nd} \end{array}$

The expected phase dephasing from the dissipative part of the second order self-forces for the last year of inspiral. (Kerr, circular orbits.)

Expected 3.0PN phase corrections





 The 3.0PN phase correction is important when the mass ratio is small.

 Spin dependence is week except the spin parameter is very close to extreme limit.



The residual dephsing from dissipative 2nd order SFs might be well suppressed among many IMRIs and EMRIs.

Spin and mass ratio dependence of "residual" dephasing



The suppression may hold irrespective of the black hole spin and the mass ratio of the binary.

Summary of the talk

In a circular Kerr orbit, we estimate the dephasing due to the dissipative part of the 2nd order self-forces.

• This dephasing is important for IMRIs, but they might be well captured by 3.0PN energy flux with exponential resummation.

 This dephasing coming from full 2nd order calculation may be suppressed among most IMRIs and EMRIs.

Further questions

How about a eccentric orbit?



The end of planned talk

Thank you.



Scaling law of the Coefficients in the flux : Exponential resummation



Efficiency of exponential resummation : fixed PN order



10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁷ 10⁻⁸

10⁻⁹ 10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻¹ 0.2 0.25 0.3 0.35 0.4 0.05 0.1 0.15 0

aylor-3P

Efficiency of exponential resummation : fixed spin parameter









Inside the ISCO radius of Shwarzschild black hole, the energy flux decomposed by partial waves behaves badly if $\ell \gg 1$

Incorporate into the PN theory

Borrow partial knowledge from the PN formalism as usual



We assume the rate that energy is lost through GWs is equal to the rate that the SFs remove energy from the orbit.

Balance argument for phase evolution



GW energy flux emitted to suppressed) $\mathcal{L}_{\rm H} \leq 10^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{\infty}$