Mathematical and numerical analysis for multiscale simulations of suspensions

Claude Le Bris

Ecole Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées and INRIA

including mathematical works with and by E. Cancès, I. Catto, Y. Gati,

numerical works with A.T. Patera (MIT) and S. Boyaval,

in collaboration with Ph. Coussot (LCPC), F. Lequeux (ESPCI).





College Park, 2007 - p. 1

The Hébraud-Lequeux model

A mesoscale model describing shear flows of concentrated suspensions

• A multiscale model based on the HL model

- Long-time existence and uniqueness
- Long-time limit (convergence to steady states)
- Numerical simulation (deterministic and stochastic approaches)





Shear-stress experiments

DES PONTS ET CHAUSSEES





- Planar Couette flow: $\vec{u}(x, y, t) = u(y, t) \vec{e}_x$
- Shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = \partial_y u$ uniform in space



• A sample of matter: assembly of blocks, each of them carrying some shear stress σ .





The probability density $p(t, \sigma)$ for a block carry shear-stress σ at time t is independent of x and y.



Macroscopic shear stress in the fluid

$$\tau(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sigma \, p(\sigma, t) \, d\sigma$$





- Elasticity of individual blocks (shear modulus G_0)
- Relaxation beyond a given threshold σ_c (T_0 : characteristic relaxation time)
- Collective effect: relaxation induced rearrangement

$$\partial_t p(t,\sigma) = -G_0 \dot{\gamma}(t) \ \partial_\sigma p(t,\sigma) + D(p(t)) \ \partial_{\sigma\sigma}^2 p(t,\sigma) \\ -\frac{\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\sigma_c,\sigma_c]}(\sigma)}{T_0} \ p(t,\sigma) + \frac{1}{T_0} \left(\int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} p(t,\sigma) \, d\sigma \right) \ \delta_0(\sigma)$$

with

$$D(f) = \frac{\alpha}{T_0} \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} f(\sigma) \, d\sigma$$





Hébraud-Lequeux (HL) equation

$$\partial_t p(t,\sigma) = -G_0 \dot{\gamma}(t) \ \partial_\sigma p(t,\sigma) + D(p(t)) \ \partial_{\sigma\sigma} p(t,\sigma) - \frac{\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\sigma_c,\sigma_c]}(\sigma)}{T_0} \ p(t,\sigma) + \frac{D(p(t))}{\alpha} \delta_0(\sigma)$$

$$D(f) = \frac{\alpha}{T_0} \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} f(\sigma) \, d\sigma$$

Nonlinear (nonlocal) parabolic equation, which can degenerate into a linear advection equation

Nonlinear drift-diffusion process (in the sense of Mc-Kean) with jumps.





 $\dot{\gamma}$ being given, search the solutions $p(\sigma)$ to

$$-G_0 \dot{\gamma} \,\partial_\sigma p + D(p) \,\partial_{\sigma\sigma} p - \frac{\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\sigma_c, \sigma_c]}(\sigma)}{T_0} \,p + \frac{D(p)}{\alpha} \delta_0(\sigma) = 0$$

with

$$D(p) = \frac{\alpha}{T_0} \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} p(\sigma) \, d\sigma$$

such that

$$p \ge 0, \qquad \int_{\mathbf{R}} p(\sigma) \, d\sigma = 1$$





Proposition (E. Cancès, I. Catto, Y. Gati). For a given set of parameters α , G_0 , T_0 , and σ_c ,

- For $\dot{\gamma} \neq 0$ fixed, there exists a unique steady state $p(\sigma)$, and therefore a unique τ
- For $\dot{\gamma} = 0$, any function $p(\sigma)$ supported in $[-\sigma_c, \sigma_c]$ is a steady-state.

In addition, if $\alpha > \alpha_c = 1/2$, there exists a unique steady state $p(\sigma)$ with D(p) > 0.

No such state exists for $\alpha \leq \alpha_c$.





 $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ and p_0 such that $p_0 \ge 0$ and $\int_{\mathbf{R}} p_0 = 1$ being given, search the solutions $p(t, \sigma)$ to the HL equation

$$\partial_t p(t,\sigma) = -G_0 \dot{\gamma}(t) \, \partial_\sigma p(t,\sigma) + D(p(t)) \, \partial_{\sigma\sigma} p(t,\sigma) \\ - \frac{\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\sigma_c,\sigma_c]}(\sigma)}{T_0} \, p(t,\sigma) + \frac{D(p(t))}{\alpha} \delta_0(\sigma)$$

with
$$p(0,\sigma) = p_0(\sigma)$$
.

$$D(f) = \frac{\alpha}{T_0} \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} f(\sigma) \, d\sigma$$





A priori estimates ($G_0 = 1, T_0 = 1, \sigma_c = 1$)



$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbf{R}}p=0$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} (\text{HL equation}) \times p$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbf{R}} p^2 + D(p(t)) \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\partial_{\sigma}p|^2 + \int_{|\sigma|>1} p^2 = \frac{D(p(t))}{\alpha} p(t,0)$$





Maximum principle

 $H_1 = \chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-1,1]}$

$$\partial_t p = -\dot{\gamma} \,\partial_\sigma p + D(p) \,\partial_{\sigma\sigma} p - H_1 p + \frac{D(p)}{\alpha} \delta_0 ;$$

$$p(0,\sigma) = p_0(\sigma) ,$$

$$\partial_t p_- = -\dot{\gamma} \,\partial_\sigma p_- + D(p) \,\partial_{\sigma\sigma} p_- - p_- ;$$

$$p_-(0,\sigma) = p_0(\sigma) ,$$

$$\partial_t p_+ = -\dot{\gamma} \,\partial_\sigma p_+ + D(p) \,\partial_{\sigma\sigma} p_+ + \frac{D(p)}{\alpha} \,\delta_0(\sigma) ;$$

$$p_+(0,\sigma) = p_0(\sigma) ,$$

 $p_{-} \le p \le p_{+}$





Heat kernel

$$\mathcal{G}_t(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{4t}\right) \quad \text{if } t > 0 ;$$

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \delta_0 .$$

Total shear

$$\chi(t) = \int_0^t \dot{\gamma}(s) \, ds \qquad \left[\in H^1_{\text{loc}, t} \hookrightarrow C^0_t \right]$$

$$p_{-} = e_{t}^{-t} \tau_{\chi(t)} p_{0} \star \mathcal{G}_{\int_{0}^{t} D(p)} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} D(p(s)) \mathcal{G}_{\int_{s}^{t} D(p)} \left(\cdot - \chi(t) + \chi(s) \right) ds$$





Mesoscopic equation

$$p(t,\sigma) \leq p_{+}(t,\sigma)$$

$$\leq \|\tau_{\chi(t)}p_{0} \star \mathcal{G}_{\int_{0}^{t} D(p)}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{D(p(s))}{\sqrt{4\pi} \int_{s}^{t} D(p)} ds$$

$$\leq \|p_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} + \frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{\int_{0}^{t} D(p)}$$

$$D(p) = \alpha \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} p \le \alpha \int_{\mathbf{R}} p = \alpha$$

Therefore

$$0 \le p(t,\sigma) \le \|p_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \sqrt{\frac{t}{\alpha\pi}}$$





The subsolution p_{-} is used to prove that, if $D(p_{0}) > 0$, then D(p(t)) is bounded away from zero on finite time intervals.

As $D(p_0) > 0$, p_0 is not supported in [-1, 1].

As $t \mapsto \chi(t)$ is continuous, $p_{-}(t) = e^{-t} \tau_{\chi(t)} p_0 \star \mathcal{G}_{\int_0^t D(p)}$ is not supported in [-1, 1] for any $0 \le t < t^*$.

In fact, for any $T < t^*$,

 $\forall 0 \le t \le T, \quad D(p(t)) \ge D(p_{-}(t)) \ge \frac{\alpha}{2} e^{-T} \min_{0 \le t \le T} \int_{|\sigma + \chi(t)| > 1} p_{0}$





As
$$\int_0^{t^*} D(p(t)) dt = \mathcal{D} > 0$$
, the support of

$$p_{-}(t^*) = e^{-t^*} \tau_{\chi(t^*)} p_0 \star \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}$$

is the whole real line.

Therefore, so is the support of

$$p_{-}(t) = e^{-(t-t^*)} \tau_{\chi(t-t^*)} p_{-}(t^*) \star \mathcal{G}_{\int_{t^*}^t D(p)}$$

for any $t \ge t^*$, and D(p(t)) > 0 for any t.





Theorem (E. Cancès, I. Catto, Y. Gati). Let $\dot{\gamma} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ and let the initial data p_0 satisfy the conditions

$$p_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbf{R}), \quad p_0 \ge 0, \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_0 = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\sigma| \, p_0 < +\infty$$

and be such that $D(p_0) > 0$.

Then, there exists a unique global solution $p(t, \sigma)$ to the HL equation in $L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}, t}(L^1_{\sigma} \cap L^2_{\sigma}) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}, t}(H^1_{\sigma}).$

Moreover, $p \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}, t}(L^{\infty}_{\sigma}) \cap C^0_t(L^1_{\sigma} \cap L^2_{\sigma})$

SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 37, pp 60-82, 2005,





In addition,

• For all
$$t \ge 0$$
, $p(t, \cdot) \ge 0$, $\int_{\mathbf{R}} p(t, \sigma) \, d\sigma = 1$

$$D(p) \in C_t^0$$
 and for all $T > 0$

 $\min_{0 \le t \le T} D(p(t)) > 0$

• $\sigma p \in L^{\infty}_t(L^1_{\sigma})$ so that the macroscopic shear-stress

$$\tau(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sigma \, p(t,\sigma) \, d\sigma$$

is well-defined in $L^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}, t}$





Sketch of the proof

• Step 1. For any $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t p_{\epsilon} = -\dot{\gamma} \,\partial_{\sigma} p_{\epsilon} + \left(D(p_{\epsilon}) + \epsilon \right) \,\partial_{\sigma\sigma} p_{\epsilon} - H_1 p_{\epsilon} + \frac{D(p_{\epsilon})}{\alpha} \delta_0 ; \\ p_{\epsilon}(0,\sigma) = p_0(\sigma) , \end{cases}$$

has a solution p_{ϵ} (a priori bounds + Schauder for local existence, growth control on $\int_{\mathbf{R}} |\sigma| p_{\epsilon}$ for global existence).

Step 2. Up to extraction (p_{ϵ}) converges toward a solution of the HL equation when ϵ goes to zero.





• Step 3. Uniqueness: let p_1 and p_2 be two solutions of the HL equations. Let $q = p_2 - p_1$.

Maximum principle: $p \ge p_- \Rightarrow \forall T > 0, \exists \nu(T) > 0$ depending only on α and p_0 such that

$$\min_{0 \le t \le T} D(p(t)) \ge \nu(T).$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|q\|_{L^2_{\sigma}}^2 \le \left(\frac{1}{\nu(T)} + \frac{\alpha^2}{\nu(T)}\|\partial_{\sigma}p_1\|_{L^2_{\sigma}}^2 + \frac{\nu(T)}{2}\right)\|q\|_{L^2_{\sigma}}^2$$

Gronwall $\Rightarrow q = 0$





Proposition (E. Cancès, I. Catto, Y. Gati). Let the initial data p_0 satisfy the conditions

$$p_0 \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbf{R}), \quad p_0 \ge 0, \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_0 = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\sigma| \, p_0 < +\infty$$

and be such that

 $D(p_0)=0.$

Then, the HL equation has at least one solution, but may have an infinity of solutions.





Theorem (E. Cancès and CLB). For α large enough, for $\dot{\gamma}_{\infty}$ small enough, for C small enough, if

$$|\dot{\gamma}(t) - \dot{\gamma}_{\infty}| \leq \mathcal{C}f(t) \quad \text{with} \quad |f(t)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f(t) \underset{t \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,$$

and if p_0 is close enough to p_∞ [unique steady state associated with $\dot{\gamma}_\infty$ for which $D(p_\infty) > 0$], then

$$p(t, \cdot) \xrightarrow[t \to +\infty]{} p_{\infty}$$
 at least in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbf{R})$.

If moreover, $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ converges exponentially fast to $\dot{\gamma}_{\infty}$, then $p(t, \cdot)$ converges exponentially fast to p_{∞} .





The proof makes use of the entropy function

$$S_2(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{p(t,\sigma)}{p_{\infty}(\sigma)} - 1 \right)^2 \, p_{\infty}(\sigma) \, d\sigma$$

The assumption " p_0 close enough to p_∞ " means

$$S_2(0) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{p_0(\sigma)}{p_\infty(\sigma)} - 1 \right)^2 \, p_\infty(\sigma) \, d\sigma$$

small enough.





It is observed in experiments that the shear rate $\partial_y u$ is not uniform in space. We therefore propose the following multiscale model:

$$\rho \partial_t u(t,y) = \mu \partial_{yy} u(t,y) + \partial_y \tau(t,y), \qquad u(t,0) = 0, \quad u(t,L) = V(t),$$

$$\partial_t p(t, y, \sigma) = -G_0 \,\partial_y u(t, y) \,\partial_\sigma p(t, y, \sigma) + D(t, y) \,\partial_{\sigma\sigma}^2 p(t, y, \sigma)$$

$$-\frac{\chi_{\mathbf{R}\setminus[-\sigma_c,\sigma_c]}(\sigma)}{T_0} p(t,y,\sigma) + \frac{1}{T_0} \left(\int_{|\sigma'| > \sigma_c} p(t,y,\sigma') \, d\sigma' \right) \, \delta_0(\sigma)$$

$$\tau(t,y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sigma \, p(t,y,\sigma) \, d\sigma,$$

$$D(t,y) = \frac{\alpha}{T_0} \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} p(t,y,\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$



Theorem (E. Cancès, I. Catto, Y. Gati and CLB). Let the initial data p_0 satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{cases} p_0 \ge 0, \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} p_0(y,\sigma) \, d\sigma = 1, \quad \forall y \in \Omega =]0, L[\\ p_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times \mathbf{R}), \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\sigma| \, p_0 \, d\sigma \in L^2(\Omega); \end{cases}$$

together with

$$\inf_{y \in \Omega, \ \chi \in \mathbf{R}} \int_{|\sigma + \chi| > \sigma_c} p_0(y, \sigma) \, d\sigma = \eta > 0 \, .$$

Let $V \in H^1_{\text{loc},t}$ and $u_0 \in H^1(0,L)$ such that $u_0(0) = 0$ and $u_0(L) = V(0)$.





Then, there exists a unique global solution (u; p) of the multiscale HL system such that

$$u \in C_t^0\left(L_y^2\right) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}, t} H_y^1 ,$$

$$p \in L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}, t}\left(L^{\infty}_{y}\left(L^{1}_{\sigma}\right) \cap L^{\infty}_{y, \sigma}\right) \cap L^{\infty}_{y}\left(C^{0}_{t}\left(L^{2}_{\sigma}\right) \cap L^{2}_{\text{loc}, t}H^{1}_{\sigma}\right)$$

$$\tau \in L^2_y\left(L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc},\,t}\right) \cap C^0_t\left(L^2_y\right).$$

Moreover,

and

$$p \geq 0$$
 and $\int_{\mathbf{R}} p(t, y, \sigma) \, d\sigma = 1, \quad \forall (t, y)$

SIAM Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, vol. 4, No. 4, pp 1041-1058, 2005,





• Step 1. Change of variable $v(t, y) = u(t, y) - \frac{V(t)}{L}y$

$$\rho \partial_t v(t,y) = \mu \partial_{yy} v(t,y) + \partial_y \tau(t,y) - \frac{V'(t)}{L} y,$$

v(t,0) = 0, v(t,L) = 0,

$$\partial_t p(t, y, \sigma) = -G_0 \left(\partial_y v(t, y) + \frac{V(t)}{L} \right) \partial_\sigma p(t, y, \sigma) + D(t, y) \partial_{\sigma\sigma}^2 p(t, y, \sigma)$$

$$- \frac{\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\sigma_c, \sigma_c]}(\sigma)}{T_0} p(t, y, \sigma) + \frac{1}{T_0} \left(\int_{|\sigma'| > \sigma_c} p(t, y, \sigma') \, d\sigma' \right) \, \delta_0(\sigma)$$

$$\tau(t, y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sigma \, p(t, y, \sigma) \, d\sigma,$$

$$D(t,y) = \frac{\alpha}{T_0} \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} p(t,y,\sigma) \, d\sigma.$$

• Step 2. Short time existence and uniqueness

For T > 0 we define the mappings

$$\mathcal{F}_1: \quad L^2\left(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega)\right) \quad \longrightarrow \quad L^\infty\left(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\right) \\ v \qquad \longmapsto \qquad \tau$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_2 : L^{\infty} \left(0, T; L^2(\Omega) \right) \longrightarrow L^2 \left(0, T; H^1_0(\Omega) \right)$$

$$\tau \qquad \longmapsto \qquad v ,$$

For T > 0 small enough, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2 \circ \mathcal{F}_1$ is contractive.





• Step 3. Global and time existence and uniqueness

Let $[0, t^*[$ be the maximal time interval on which the solution (u; p) is defined. For all $0 \le t < t^*$,

•
$$\left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\sigma| p(t) \, d\sigma \right\|_{L^2_y} \leq \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\sigma| p_0 \, d\sigma \right\|_{L^2_y} + C_{p_0} \left(1 + t^{3/2} \right)$$

•
$$\inf_{y \in \Omega, \ \chi \in \mathbf{R}} \int_{|\sigma + \chi| > \sigma_c} p(t, y, \sigma) \, d\sigma \geq \frac{\eta}{2\alpha} e^{-t}$$





$$\begin{split} \rho \partial_t u &= \mu \partial_{yy} u(y,t) + \partial_y \tau(y,t), \qquad u(t,0) = 0, \quad u(t,L) = V(t), \\ \partial_t p(y,\sigma,t) &= -G_0 \, \partial_y u(y,t) \, \partial_\sigma p(y,\sigma,t) + D(y,t) \, \partial_{\sigma\sigma}^2 p(y,\sigma,t) \\ &\quad - \frac{\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\sigma_c,\sigma_c]}(\sigma)}{T_0} \, p(y,\sigma,t) + \frac{1}{T_0} \left(\int_{|\sigma'| > \sigma_c} p(y,\sigma',t) \, d\sigma' \right) \, \delta_0(\sigma) \\ \tau(y,t) &= \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sigma \, p(y,\sigma,t) \, d\sigma, \\ D(y,t) &= \frac{\alpha}{T_0} \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} p(y,\sigma,t) \, d\sigma. \end{split}$$

If $V(t) \xrightarrow[t \to +\infty]{} V_{\infty}$, does (u; p) converge to some (u_{∞}, p_{∞}) ?

$$u_{\infty} = u_{\infty}(y) = \frac{V_{\infty}}{L}y, \qquad p_{\infty} = p_{\infty}(\sigma)$$

$$ENPC$$

$$in RIA$$

College Park, 2007 - p. 30

Compute u from τ (thus from p):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(t,y) = -\frac{1}{\eta}\tau(t,y) + \frac{1}{\eta L}\int_0^L \tau(t,y')\,dy' + \frac{1}{L}\,V(t).$$

Insert in the mesoscopic equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(t,y,\sigma) &= -\left[-\frac{1}{\eta}\int_{\mathbf{R}}\sigma p(t,y,\sigma)\,d\sigma + \frac{1}{L}\,V(t)\right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{\eta L}\int_{0}^{L}\int_{\mathbf{R}}\sigma p(t,y',\sigma)\,d\sigma\,dy'\right]\frac{\partial p}{\partial \sigma}(t,y,\sigma) \\ &+ D(p)\,\frac{\partial^{2} p}{\partial \sigma^{2}}(t,y,\sigma) - H_{1}p(t,y,\sigma) + \frac{D(p)}{\alpha}\delta_{0}, \end{aligned}$$

Family indexed by $y \in]0, L[$ of PDE in (t, σ) coupled through the term $\int_0^L \int_{\mathbf{R}} \sigma p(t, y', \sigma) \, d\sigma \, dy'.$





Theorem (E. Cancès and CLB). For $\rho = 0$.

- Existence and uniqueness. For $V \in H_t^1$ and p_0 such that $D(p_0(y)) > 0$ for all y, the multiscale model has a unique solution (u; p).
- Long-time limit. For α large enough, for V_{∞} small enough, for $\mathcal C$ small enough, if

$$|V(t) - V_{\infty}| \le \mathcal{C}f(t)$$
 with $|f(t)| \le 1$ and $f(t) \xrightarrow[t \to +\infty]{} 0$,

and if p_0 is close enough to p_∞ [unique steady state associated with V_∞/L for which $D(p_\infty) > 0$], then

$$(u(t), p(t)) \xrightarrow[t \to +\infty]{} (u_{\infty}; p_{\infty})$$
 at least in $L^1_y \times L^1_{y,\sigma}$.

Discrete and Continuous dynamical Systems B, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp 449-470, 2006,





The long-time limit is obtained by using the entropy function

$$S_2(y,t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\frac{p(t,y,\sigma)}{p_{\infty}(\sigma)} - 1 \right)^2 \, p_{\infty}(\sigma) \, d\sigma$$

and by writing an equation of the form

$$\frac{d\mathcal{S}}{dt} + \epsilon \mathcal{S} \le C |V(t) - V_{\infty}|$$

for the quantity

$$\mathcal{S}(t) = \int_0^L \sqrt{S_2(t, y)} \, dy$$





We recall the mesoscopic equation is a parabolic equation which is

- nonlinear,
- nonlocal,
- possibly degenerate,
- with a singular r.h.s.,
- set on an unbounded domain.

$$\partial_t p = -\partial_\sigma p + D(p) \ \partial_{\sigma\sigma} p - \chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\sigma_c, \sigma_c]}(\sigma) \ p + D(p) \delta_0(\sigma)$$

$$D(p) = \int_{|\sigma| > \sigma_c} p$$

All constants to one, for simplicity.





Two approaches

- deterministic: finite-differences in space, operator splitting in time: advection (upwind, Lax-Friedrichs), diffusion (implicit Euler), source (exact)
- stochastic

Question: numerical analysis of time-splitting (say, Strang) for time-dependent operators $e^{S/2}e^{A/2}e^{D}e^{A/2}e^{S/2}$; Simpler situation, Take advection-diffusion

$$\partial_t p = -\partial_\sigma p + D(p(t), t, \ldots)) \ \partial_{\sigma\sigma} p$$

What is the order of the usual splitting schemes?





Stochastic approach: simulate a diffusion process with jumps, nonlinear in the sense of McKean

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if} \quad |\sigma_{k,i}^n| < \sigma_c, \quad \text{then} \quad \sigma_{k,i}^{n+1} = \sigma_{k,i}^n + \frac{u_{k+1}^n - u_k^n}{\Delta y} \, \Delta t + \nu_k^n \sqrt{\Delta t} \, G_{k,i}^n, \\ \text{otherwise} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{if} \quad \omega_{k,i}^n \in \left[0, \Delta t\right] \quad \text{then} \quad \sigma_{k,i}^{n+1} = 0, \\ \text{otherwise} \quad \sigma_{k,i}^{n+1} = \sigma_{k,i}^n + \frac{u_{k+1}^n - u_k^n}{\Delta y} \, \Delta t + \nu_k^n \sqrt{\Delta t} \, G_{k,i}^n, \end{array}$$

where $\sigma_{k,i}^n$ is the state of constraint of the *i*-th "particle" at time $n\Delta t$, at the macropoint $(k+1/2)\Delta y$, and where $D_k^n = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{Card}\{i \mid |\sigma_{k,i}^n| > \sigma_c\}, \nu_k^n = \sqrt{2\nu D_k^n}, G_{k,i}^n$ and $\omega_{k,i}^n$ are two random variables following $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and the uniform law respectively. Then the stress writes

$$T_k^n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_{k,i}^n.$$

See BenAlaya/Jourdain, J.Appl. Prob., to appear.





Solve at each macroscopic point (Gauss point) the mesoscopic equation, a PDE, parameterized by some macroscopic field

$$\partial_t p = -f(y,t)) \partial_\sigma p + D(p) \partial_{\sigma\sigma} p - \chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus [-\sigma_c,\sigma_c]}(\sigma) p + D(p) \delta_0(\sigma)$$

 $(f(y,t) = -G_0 \,\partial_y u(t,y)).$

Appropriate framework for Reduced Basis approaches (Maday/Patera/Rondqvist, Almroth et al. - 1970'-, Noor and Peters -1980'-)

Off-line: Solve the equation for a set of f(y, t)

On-line: use the solutions obtained as a basis set for expanding the solution for a general f(y,t)

Crucial ingredient: a-posteriori estimators to evaluate the quality of the solution, and possibly extend the basis on demand.

Preliminary tests (by S. Boyaval) on Fokker-Planck for polymeric fluids: very very (very)

promising...





- numerical analysis of the splitting scheme (dependence in time, issues of regularity)
- clarify mathematically the stochastic approach (coupled case)
- proceed with the reduced basis technology
- Extend the simulation (and, before, the model!) to dimensions 2 and 3





- works with and by E. Cancès, I. Catto, Y. Gati, CLB
 - SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 37, pp 60-82, 2005,
 - SIAM Multiscale Modeling and Simulation, vol. 4, No. 4, pp 1041-1058, 2005,
 - Discrete and Continuous dynamical Systems B, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp 449-470, 2006,
- works with and by S. Boyaval and A.T. Patera
 - Reduced basis approach for homogenization beyond the periodic setting, INRIA report 6130, submitted to SIAM MMS,
 - Reduced basis approach for complex fluids simulation, in preparation





- related work B. Jourdain, T. Lelièvre, F. Otto, CLB
 - Long-time asymptotics of a multiscale model for polymeric fluid flows, ARMA, vol. 181, no.
 1, 97-148, 2006.
- related works PL. Lions, CLB
 - Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata, volume 183, 97-130, 2004
 - Existence and uniqueness of solutions to Fokker-Planck type equations with irregular coefficients, CPDE, submitted, preprint 2007.
 - Generalized flows for SDE with irregular coefficients, in preparation.



